# Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

# Fifth Appellate District

#### F038921 Doe et al. v. Geanakos

Appellants Jane Doe, Mary Roe and John Roe having failed to perform the acts necessary to procure the record after the clerk of the trial court issued notice pursuant to the provisions of rule 10(c), California Rules of Court, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is dismissed as to Appellants Jane Doe, Mary Roe and John Roe only.

# F034868 People v. Calderon

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the aboveentitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

### F034868 People v. Calderon

The judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

# F036992 People v. Flores

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the aboveentitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

### F036992 People v. Flores

The judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

### F038886 People v. Levva

Appellant having filed an abandonment and/or request for dismissal of appeal, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is dismissed.

# Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

# Fifth Appellate District

#### F034825 Mastro v. Petrick

Filed modification of opinion (no change in judgment). Ardaiz, P.J.

We concur: Levy, J.

[CERTIFIED FOR PUBLICATION]

# F034330 Hammond v. Costco Companies, Inc.

The judgment is affirmed. Costs are awarded to respondent Costco Companies, Inc. Polley, ProTem J.

We concur: Ardaiz, P.J.; Wiseman, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

# F035437 Shaw & Little, Inc. v. Meyer's Big Stop

The order of submission entered in the above entitled case on October 15, 2001, is vacated. The parties are directed to submit letter briefs on the applicability of Civil Code section 3087 etc.

# F039044 In re the Marriage of Francisca and Ramon Magdaleno, Jr.

Appellant having failed to deposit the statutory filing fee of \$265.00 as required by rules 1 and 10, California Rules of Court, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is dismissed.

### F033111 Ybarra v. Romaine et al.

The judgment is affirmed. Costs on appeal to respondents. Harris, J.

We concur: Vartabedian, Acting P.J.; Levy, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

### F038476 People v. Tripling, Jr.

No brief having been filed by appellant after notice duly given under rule 17(c) of the California Rules of Court, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is dismissed.

#### F038505 People v. Gomez

No brief having been filed by appellant after notice duly given under rule 17(c) of the California Rules of Court, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is dismissed.